

From: Leila Khalil [mailto:l.khalil@ids.com.lb]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 1:38 PM
To: 'General Manager'
Cc: r.barakeh@ids.com.lb; 'ZAINAB JABER'
Subject: RE: RV'S Seriality

Dear Nadine,

Kindly find below our notes on the summary file attached:

#	First category receipt	Last category receipt	Counted receipts	Receipts contain "-"	Difference b/w 1st and last receipt	Category missed Receipts
1	52203	53104	67	N/A	902	835
2	16000001	16000818	818	N/A	818	0
3	181227/16	181227/16	1	N/A	1	0
4	2016/69/00000	2016/69/67964	55341	843	67965	13467
			56227		69686	14302

1. The serialization used for the subscription from the first till mid of January was the default serialization given by the database table.
2. These receipts were migrated into the system from the old system which was used during January.
3. This receipt number was taken from the receipt paper given manually to the physician when paying installment.
4. This serialization is the one adopted later on for all receipts generated from the operations.

The gap existing in receipts numbers is due to the following:

- In 2016, cancellation of the receipts results into a permanent deletion, note that many receipts were cancelled to be updated because one field or many (e.g. Branch) are incorrect.
- When attempting to add a new payment (Opening the Payment page), the system was reserving the receipt number even if the voucher was not paid and the receipt was not saved and was lost .

Receipts having same serial number but with (- number) are the receipts generated by the system and taking the same numbers (Case when 2 or more payments pages were opened simultaneously by same

or different users). This bug was lately fixed and corrected in the system but we corrected the serial numbers by adding the dash(-).

Note that there exist a new serialization starting with 2017/69/..., this serialization is for receipts paid in 2016 but updated or added into the system in 2017.

Best Regards,